## Tennis team prepares for postseason

**By Chris Strunk** 

The Valley Center High School tennis team had a busy week.

The Hornets played at Maize on April 23 and at Buhler April 22.

Their home invitational on April 26 was cut short because of rain. Those matches will resume on May 3.

At a tough tournament in Maize, Valley Center placed sixth with 11 team points. Bishop Carroll won the tournament with 32 points. Maize was second with 21, followed by McPherson, Collegiate and Maize South.

Isaac Barton placed fifth at No. 1 singles. He lost to a Maize player but came back to beat a second player from Maize before beating McPherson in a tiebreak-

Drew Alexander went 1-2 at No. 2 singles to place seventh. He lost to Maize South and Maize, but beat Goddard.

At No. 1 doubles, Nick DeBaun and Brandon Kinsinger placed fifth. They lost to McPherson and beat Goddard and Maize South.

Charlie Anderson and Brec Burgess placed fourth at No. 2 doubles. They beat Maize South and suffered two losses, including an 8-3 setback to Maize.

"Playing our twos, threes and fours, we did very well," coach Dean Schulz said. "Isaac came from 1-7 down to win his final match, which helped with team points."

The Hornets placed fourth at Buhler with 28 points. Andover Central won the seven-team tournament with 64 points, followed by Buhler with 40 and Eisenhower with 32.

**Hudson Lawrence** placed fourth at No. 1 singles. He cruised through his first two matches with 8-0 and 8-1 victories before losing to Andover Central and Sterling.

Charlie Anderson

placed 12th at No. 2 singles. He lost to Buhler, our overall play at beat Eisenhower and lost to McPherson and Dodge

Luke Hoy and Nick Neff placed fifth. They won their opening-round match against Buhler, but dropped to the consolation bracket with an 8-0 loss to Eisenhower. Hoy and Neff bounced back with an 8-4 win over McPherson and a tiebreaker win over Buhler for fifth place.

DeBaun and Kinsinger with 17 points. placed ninth at No. 2 doubles. They lost the first round before coming back with two straight tiebreaker victories and a win against Eisenhower.

"I was pleased with Buhler," Schulz said. "We showed some grit battling against tough competition to get close wins."

The Hornets will host the league tournament May 5.

**Junior varsity** — The Hornet JV squad placed second at a tournament in Goddard April 22. Valley Center finished with 14 team points. Maize won the six-team tournament

At No. 1 singles, Brec Burgess placed third. He went 1-1 in pool play and then beat Winfield 6-4 in the third-place match.

Isaac Anderson placed

third at No. 2 singles. He was 1-1 in pool play, including a tiebreaker loss to Eisenhower. Anderson beat Maize 6-0 in the third-place match.

Drew Alexander and Kayleb Vulgamore placed fourth at No. 1 doubles. They were 1-1 in pool play. They beat Goddard easily but lost a close match to Eisenhower, 6-5(3). In the third-place match, they lost to Winfield 6-3.

Riggs McDaniel and Jacob Martin won the No. 2 doubles division. They beat Eisenhower 6-0 and Godard 6-4 before beating Winfield in a tiebreaker for first place.

## CMS tennis team completes perfect regular season

By The News staff

The Valley Center Middle School tennis team completed its regular season undefeated for the first time in more than two decades with a 21-0

dual win over Maize Middle School April 29.

The Hornets finished 8-0 in dual competitions and captured first place in both invitational tour- matches," coach Kenny naments this season.

"Maize has some good young players and enced group of players

5. Sophie

6:30.49;

5:31.41

a couple of them had beaten us earlier this season at tournaments, so I was a bit surprised that we won all 21 Carter said. "We have a very mature and experi-

win. It's been the best win-loss percentage in Hornet history."

Players winning matches include Gabriel Tamayo, Carson Schielke, Mason Schielke, Cade Carpenter-Tracey,

who like to compete and Brylan Canady, Jake Holcomb, Matt Mosicki, Eric Jacobberger, Hanley Barton, Kale Amaro, Wyatt Clark, Frederick Commodore Green IIII, Nathan Parker, Bentley Black, Tyson Schotte, Micah

Gomez, Aiden Taylor and Blaine White.

The team will wrap up their season schedule with the Wichita Suburban League tournament May 6.

## hth-grade boys set school relay record

By The News staff

The Valley Center Middle School track team hosted a quad April 22. The seventh-grade boys and the seventh-grade girls placed third, while the eighth-grade boys placed first and the eighth-grade girls fourth. The eighth-grade

4x400-meter relay team of Caden Spangler, Tristan Tucker, Samuel Scott and Trevor Russell set a school record with a finish of 3:50.12.

The Hornets also had participation meets in Derby and in Valley

April 22 Seventh-grade girls Team — 1. Lakewood 70; 2. Maize 60; 3. Valley Center 53; 4.

**VCMS Quad** 

Eisenhower 41. **VC results** Discus — 5. Lela Kelly 45-7.5; 6. Charley Mertes 45-1; 11. Isabelle Barnhart 28-0. Shot put — 2. Ava Clopton 29-1.5; 3. Charley Mertes 26-2.25; 9. Lela Kelly 23- Valley Center 40. 5.75; 13. Isabelle Barnhart 17-**VC** results 4.5. Long jump — 7. Lina Munhall 11-2. High jump — 4. Chloe

Discus — 5. Kaitlynn Irvin 57-9.5; 9. Markayla McFall 51-11; Meeske 4-4 5. Adelle Muninger 13. Kelsey Friend 39-3. Shot put – 5. Kaitlynn Irvin 31-2; 7. Kelsey 4-2. 1600 — 4. Audrey Dalton Friend 29-5.5; 10. Brooklynn Sexton Haywood 25-1. Long jump -6:30.86. 100 hurdles — 1. Grace Strouth 18.96; 4. Shamya Williams 20.55; 5. Addison Taylor Vivian Johnson 13-1.25; 10. Abby Corcoran 12-2.25; 13. Raeghan Wiles 11-0. High jump — 1. Makynzee Breth 4-10. 3200 — 1. 21.14; 11. Jayleen Cabral Gomez 22.24. 100 — 7. Tessa Black 14.94; 8. Averie Dewey 15.26; Tylee Coleman 11:40.18. 100 11. Kylie Skladzien 15.65; 14. hurdles — 1. Alivia Champman 17.78; 8. Kennedy Johnson 20.24; Jayleen Cabral Gomez 16.32. 4x100 — 3. Gamboa, Dewey, Tay-10. Brooklynn Haywood 21.90. 100 — 4. Morgan Fowler 13.65; 7. lor, Black 1:01.17; 4. Muninger, Shifrin, Skladzien, Strouth 1:03.50. 4x200 — 1. Gamboa, Meeske, Black, Skladzien 2:07.71. Brihli Sims 13.99; 10. Baylee Blasi 14.27; 11. Olivia Bonds 14.34. 4x100 — 3. Blasi, Sims, Bonds, 800 — 5. Audrey Dalton 2:54.36; Fowler 56.01; 5. Hagan, Friend, 7. Sophie Sexton 2:58.74, 800 Stucky, Champman 58.88. 400 -2. Baylee Blasi 1:08.22; 4. Jerzie medley relay — 2. Taylor, Dewey, Gamboa, Meeske 2:20.58; 3. Shultz 1:10.06. 4x200 — 2. Blasi, Bonds, Fowler, Sims 2:01.63; 4. Lehr, Shifrin, Munhall, Williams 2:29.19. 200 hurdles — 2. Grace Shultz, Friend, Hagan, Stucky 2:09.27. 200 hurdles — 2. Alivia Champman 34.46; 7. Kennedy Strouth 35.89; 11. Chloe Meeske 38.17; 14. Jayleen Cabral Gomez Johnson 39.80; 8. Brooklynn 38.75. 200 — 10. Tessa Black Haywood 41.00. 200 — 6. Olivia 11. Addison Taylor 34.68: 12. Lina Munhall 36.57, 4x400 — Bonds 30.92; 8. Morgan Fowler 1. Cabral Gomez, Gonzalez, Skladzien, Strouth 5:07.21; 2. 31.29; 9. Brihli Sims 31.75; 11. Makynzee Breth 33.56. Lehr, Shifrin, Williams, Munhall

Seventh-grade boys Team — 1. Maize 62.33; 2. Lakewood 61; 3. Valley Center 59; 4. Eisenhower 40.66. **VC results** 

Discus — 1. Kolson Montalvo

6. Cole McGettigan 60-5.5. Shot put — 1. Jaxxon Mefford 30-6.25; 6. Teagen Schulze 22-4.25; 8. Ethan Donley 20-9.75. Long jump 4. Brayson Griblin 14-1.25; 5. Parker Sharon 13-11. High jump 3. Brock Kelp 4-8; 8. Malachi Grummert 4-4. 100 hurdles — 2. Mattix Cotlar 17.71; 4. Parker Sharon 18.81. 100 — 1. Brock Kelp 12.16; 7. Tristan McGowan 14.02. 4x100 — 1. McGowan, Montalvo, Cotlar, Kelp 52.36. 4x200 — 1. Schulze, Montalvo, McGowan, Cotlar 1:57.44. 800 -9. Brayson Griblin 2:53.50. 800 medley relay — 2. Sharon, Mefford, Grummert, Griblin 2:16.14. 200 hurdles — 6. Parker Sharon 35.39. 200 — 1. Brock Kelp 26.80; 4. Mattix Cotlar 29.17; 5. Teagen Schulze 29.53.

**Eighth-grade boys** Team — 1. Valley Center 88; 2. Maize 77; Lakewood 51; Eisen-

**VC** results

Discus — 1. Colton Roach 123-11; 2. Jackson Manes 99-6.5; 4. GeNova Alvey 86-11.5; 9. Khiyion Wooten 74-7. Shot put — 1. GeNova Alvey 41-10; 6. Khiyion Wooten 33-7; 7. Jackson Manes 33-5; 9. Evan Fletcher 32-0. Long jump — 1. Rowen Wilson 19-1.5; 3. Jayvion Parker 17-0. High jump — 6. Karson Spall 4-8. 100 hur-

100-5.5; 3. Cole Hoogendoorn dles — 2. Karson Spall 15.69; 4. 72-5.5; 5. Teagen Schulze 65-2.5; Landon McCoy 19.28. 100-2. Landon McCoy 19.28. 100 — 2. Jayvion Parker 11.70; 3. Caden Spangler 12.03. 1600 — 1. Trevor Russell 5:03.96: 6. Kason Rector 5:41.45; 9. David Rader 5:52.95. 4x100 1. Caden Spangler, Tucker, Wilson, Parker 46.51. 400 1. Tristan Tucker 54.58; 3. Merit Meister 1:01.48; 7. Chance

Spangler 1:04.44. 4x200 Caden Spangler, Wilson, Tucker, Parker 1:40.26. 800 — 1. Trevor Russell 2:20.20. 200 hurdles — 1. Karson Spall 29,20: 4. Landon McCoy 32.41. 200 — 8. Chance Spangler 27.48; 9. Merit Meister 28.89. 4x400 — 2. Caden Span-Tucker, Scott,



## Kechi public notice

(Published in The Ark Valley News May 1, 2025.)

RESOLUTION NO. 25-782

A RESOLUTION DETERMIN-ING THE ADVISABILITY OF MAK-ING CERTAIN INTERNAL IM-PROVEMENTS IN THE CITY OF KECHI, KANSAS; SETTING FORTH THE GENERAL NATURE OF THE IMPROVEMENTS. THE ESTIMAT-ED OR PROBABLE COSTS THERE OF, THE EXTENT OF THE BENEFIT DISTRICT TO BE ASSESSED FOR COSTS THEREOF, THE METHOD OF ASSESSMENT AND THE APPORTIONMENT OF THE COSTS BETWEEN THE BENEFIT DISTRICT AND THE CITY-AT-LARGE; AUTHORIZING AND PRO-VIDING FOR THE MAKING OF THE IMPROVEMENTS IN ACCOR-DANCE WITH THE FINDINGS OF THE GOVERNING BODY; AND DI-RECTING THE PUBLICATION AND RECORDING OF THIS RESOLU-TION (TURTLE CREEK WATER IM-PROVEMENTS, TURTLE CREEK SEWER IMPROVEMENTS. AND TURTLE CREEK PAVING & STORM WATER DRAIN IMPROVEMENTS.

WHEREAS, on April 16, 2025 three petitions (the "Petitions") were filed in the Office of the City Clerk of the City of Kechi, Kansas (the "City"), requesting that certain water, sewer and paving & storm water drain improvements be made to the Turtle Creek Subdivision in the City; and

WHEREAS, the aforementioned Petitions set forth (a) the general nature of the improvements. (b) the estimated or probable costs of the improvements, (c) the extent of the improvement district to be assessed for the costs of the improvements, (d) the method of assessment, (e) the apportionment of the costs between the improvement district and the City at Large, (f) a request that the improvements be made without notice and hearing as required by K.S.A. 12-6a04, as amended and supplemented; and (g) a statement that the proposed improvement district does not include all the property that may benefit from the proposed improvements; and

WHEREAS, the governing body of the City, upon an examination thereof on April 24, 2025, has considered and found and determined the Petitions to be sufficient, having been signed by the owners of record, whether resident or not of all of the area liable for assessment for the costs of improvements requested thereby

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RE-SOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF KECHI, KANSAS.

**Eighth-grade girls** 

Maize 62; 3. Eisenhower 47; 4.

Team — 1. Lakewood 79; 2.

SECTION 1. It is hereby found and finally determined to be advisable to make certain Improvements (hereinafter defined) in the City, and the following findings are hereby made regarding said Improvements:

A. Turtle Creek Water Improvements (the "Turtle Creek Water Improvements")

(1) The internal improvements are described as and consist of the excavation and construction of certain water distribution system and related appurtenances necessary to serve the Benefit District (as hereinafter defined) in the City of Kechi. Kansas (such improvements being commonly known as "Turtle Creek Water Improvements").

(2) The estimated or probable costs of the Turtle Creek Water Improvements, as "cost" is defined in subparagraph (d) of K.S.A. 12-6a01, is Two Hundred Thirty-Eight Thousand One Hundred Twenty-Five Dollars (\$238,125) (said cost may be increased to include temporary interest or finance costs incurred during the course of design and construction of the project, and also may be increased at the rate of one percent (1%) per month from and after May

(3) The extent of the improvement district to be assessed for the costs of the Turtle Creek Water Improvements shall include and consist of certain real property in the City of Kechi, Sedgwick County, Kansas, which is described as follows:

Turtle Creek Subdivision Block A, Lot 1 Block B. Lots 1 through 7, inclu-

Block C, Lots 1 through 6, inclu-

Block D, Lots 1 through 4, inclu-(collectively, the "Benefit Dis-

The Benefit District does not include all the property that may be deemed benefited by the Turtle Creek Water Improvements. The signers of the petition are the owners of one hundred percent (100%) of the property in the Benefit District and have agreed to pay the costs of the Turtle Creek Water Improve-

ments as set forth in the Petition and

consented to the levy of special assessments in the Benefit District as

described in the Petition. (4) The method of assessment proposed for assessing costs of the proposed Turtle Creek Water Improvements to the properties in the proposed benefit district is on a fractional basis. The fractional shares

to the improvements, as follows: Lot 1. Block A shall pay 34/85 of

provided for herein have been deter-

mined on the basis of shares being

assessed to lots or parcels of sub-

stantially comparable size and value

with consideration for their proximity

the total cost of assessment; Lots 1 through 7, Block B, Lots 1 through 6. Block C. and Lots 1 through 4, Block D shall each pay 3/85 of the total cost of assessment

In the event all or part of the lots or parcels in the Benefit District are replatted before assessments have levied, the assessments been against the replatted area shall be recalculated on the basis of the method of assessment set forth herein. Where ownership of a single lot is or may be divided into two or more parcels (or parcels are replatted such that the method of assessment set forth herein cannot be utilized), the assessment to the lot so divided shall be assessed to each ownership or parcel on a square foot

(5) The apportionment of the costs of the Turtle Creek Water Improvements, as between the Benefit District and the City-at-Large, is that one hundred percent (100%) of the costs shall be assessed against the proposed Benefit District, and zero percent (0%) of the cost shall be apportioned to the City-at-Large.

B. Turtle Creek Sewer Improvements (the "Turtle Creek Sewer Improvements")

(1) The internal improvements are described as and consist of the excavation and construction of a certain lateral sanitary sewer and related appurtenances necessary to serve the Benefit District in the City of Kechi, Kansas (such improvements being commonly known as Creek Sewer Improve-"Turtle

(2) The estimated or probable costs of the Turtle Creek Sewer Improvements, as "cost" is defined in subparagraph (d) of K.S.A. 12-6a01, is Two Hundred Seventy-One Thousand Six Hundred Fifty Dollars

(\$271,650) (said cost may be increased to include temporary interest or finance costs incurred during the course of design and construction of the project, and also may be increased at the rate of one percent (1%) per month from and after May

(3) The extent of the improvement district to be assessed for the costs of the Turtle Creek Sewer Improvements shall include and consist of certain real property in the Benefit

The Benefit District does not include all the property that may be deemed benefited by Turtle Creek Sewer Improvements. The signers of the petition are the owners of one hundred percent (100%) of the property in the Benefit District and have agreed to pay the costs of the Turtle Creek Sewer Improvements as set forth in the Petition and consented to the levy of special assessments in the Benefit District as described in the Petition.

(4) The method of assessment proposed for assessing costs of the proposed Turtle Creek Sewer Improvements to the properties in the proposed benefit district is on a fractional basis. The fractional shares provided for herein have been determined on the basis of shares being assessed to lots or parcels of substantially comparable size and value with consideration for their proximity to the improvements, as follows:

Lot 1, Block A shall pay 34/85 of the total cost of assessment:

Lots 1 through 7, Block B, Lots 1 through 6, Block C, and Lots 1 through 4. Block D shall each pay 3/85 of the total cost of assessment.

In the event all or part of the lots or parcels in the Benefit District are replatted before assessments have been levied, the assessments against the replatted area shall be recalculated on the basis of the method of assessment set forth herein. Where ownership of a single lot is or may be divided into two or more parcels (or parcels are replatted such that the method of assessment set forth herein cannot be utilized), the assessment to the lot so divided shall be assessed to each ownership or parcel on a square foot

(5) The apportionment of the costs of the Turtle Creek Sewer Improvements, as between the Benefit District and the City-at-Large, is that one hundred percent (100%) of the

costs shall be assessed against the proposed Benefit District, and zero percent (0%) of the cost shall be ap-

C. Turtle Creek Paving & Storm Water Drain Improvements (the "Turtle Creek Paving & Storm Water Drain Improvements")

portioned to the City-at-Large.

(1) The internal improvements are described as and consist of the excavation and construction of a street system, detention ponds, and related appurtenances necessary to serve the Benefit District in the City of Kechi, Kansas (such improvements being commonly known as Turtle Creek Paving & Storm Water Drain Improvements").

(2) The estimated or probable costs of the Turtle Creek Paving & Storm Water Drain Improvements. as "cost" is defined in subparagraph (d) of K.S.A. 12 6a01, is Eight Hundred One Thousand Four Hundred Five Dollars (\$715,125) (said cost may be increased to include temporary interest or finance costs incurred during the course of design and construction of the project, and also may be increased at the rate of one per cent (1%) per month from and after May 1, 2025).

(3) The extent of the improvement district to be assessed for the costs of the Turtle Creek Paving & Storm Water Drain Improvements shall include and consist of certain real property in the Benefit District.

The Benefit District does not include all the property that may be deemed benefited by the Turtle Creek Paving & Storm Water Drain Improvements. The signers of the petition are the owners of one hundred percent (100%) of the property in the Benefit District and have agreed to pay the costs of the Turtle Creek Paving & Storm Water Drain Improvements as set forth in the Petition and consented to the levy of special assessments in the Improvements Benefit District as described in the Petition.

(4) The method of assessment proposed for assessing costs of the proposed Turtle Creek Paving & Storm Water Drain Improvements to the properties in the proposed benefit district is on a fractional basis. The fractional shares provided for herein have been determined on the basis of shares being assessed to lots or parcels of substantially comparable size and value with consideration for their proximity to the improvements, as follows:

Lot 1, Block A shall pay 34/85 of the total cost of assessment:

Lots 1 through 7, Block B, Lots 1 through 6, Block C, and Lots 1 through 4. Block D shall each pay 3/85 of the total cost of assessment.

In the event all or part of the lots or parcels in the Benefit District are replatted before assessments have been levied the assessments against the replatted area shall be recalculated on the basis of the method of assessment set forth herein. Where ownership of a single lot is or may be divided into two or more parcels (or parcels are replatted such that the method of assessment set forth herein cannot be utilized), the assessment to the lot so divided shall be assessed to each ownership or parcel on a square foot

(5) The apportionment of the costs of the Turtle Creek Paving & Storm Water Drain Improvements, as between the Benefit District and the City-at-Large, is that one hundred percent (100%) of the costs shall be assessed against the proposed Benefit District, and zero percent (0%) of the cost shall be apportioned to the City-at-Large.

SECTION 2. The Turtle Creek Water Improvements, Turtle Creek Sewer Improvements, and Turtle Creek Paving & Storm Water Drain Improvements (collectively herein, the "Improvements") are hereby authorized and ordered to be made in accordance with the findings set forth in Section 1 hereof, under the authority of and as provided by K.S.A. 12-6a01 et seq., as amended

SECTION 3. This Resolution shall be published one time in the City's official newspaper, and shall be recorded in the Office of the Register of Deeds of Sedgwick County, Kansas in accordance with the provisions of K.S.A. 12-153.

PASSED, ADOPTED AND AP-PROVED by the governing body of the City of Kechi. Kansas on the 24th day of April, 2025.

CITY OF KECHI, KANSAS

and supplemented.

/a/Ashley Coleman Ashley Coleman, Mayor

/s/Debra Creekmore Debra Creekmore, City Clerk