

Soccer

Lions beat Salina Central

By Sam Jack  
TSnews

The Goddard Lions girls soccer team packed in three games last week, beating Salina Central on Monday before falling to Maize and Andover Central.

The score on Monday, April 28, at Salina Central was 3-1. Bailey Hess scored two goals and Chloe Mott one. Nikki Phan, Brinley Hedden and Maddyn Snyder had assists.

“We went down 1-0 to start the game and had to climb our way back,” Lions head coach Ali Heithoff said. “After that, Bailey Hess got us started with a goal in the first half. ... There was a big focus on build-up play, out of our back and into the attacking third.”

On April 29, with five starters out of action, the Lions lost 8-0 at Maize.

“In games like that where we’re mismatched against our opponent, I try to shift the objectives, not just focusing on the final result, but what are the little things we can accomplish throughout the game to be successful?” Heithoff said. “I had a handful of JV girls who started that game and had never played varsity, so it was a

big moment for them.” On May 1 versus Andover Central, the Jaguars led 2-1 at halftime, with Goddard’s goal scored by Brinley Hedden. But Andover Central pulled away in the second half, and the Lions lost 7-1.

“When the second half came around, we kind of lost steam, and it really came down to our mistakes. We had two own-goals in that half, so that really hurt, and we had some really unlucky play in front of goal,” Heithoff said.

The Lions were slated to play at Arkansas City on Tuesday, May 6, and will travel to Augusta this Thursday. Next week, the Lions host Newton on Tuesday and travel to Andover on Thursday.

Colts go 1-1

The Campus Colts girls soccer team went 1-1 last week, losing to Valley Center 3-2 on April 29, then beating Newton 3-0 on May 1.

Colts head coach Casey Reece said he felt that his team played well versus Valley Center but was hurt by some miscommunications that led to goals for the Hornets.

“We had plenty of opportunities to score. We had a couple more opportunities down the stretch where we could’ve very

easily tied that game, and made it a game still toward the end,” Reece said. “We gave up some things on the defensive end, which was unfortunate, but I think that helped us refocus for Thursday against Newton.”

The Colts defense was more organized on Thursday versus Newton, Reece said, not allowing the Railers to score.

“The communication piece was there, and that’s been a big thing for us this whole season,” Reece said.

Joslen Craig, Amriel Whitney and Taityn Estell scored goals versus Newton.

The Colts were slated to play at Maize South on Tuesday. This Thursday, they host Wichita South. Next week, the Colts play at Maize on Tuesday and host Hutchinson on Thursday.

Perfect senior night for EHS

The Eisenhower Tigers girls soccer team had eight players celebrating senior night – their final regular-season home game – on May 1 versus Arkansas City. For that group, the night could have hardly gone better. The Tigers

won 8-0, and each senior scored a goal.

“It was pretty cool to see the unselfishness of the girls and to have all the seniors able to score in that game,” Tigers head coach Roger Downing said.

Those seniors are Briella Wurbs, Emma Bachman, Stephanie Best, Brooke Schneider, Chloe Smith, Mackenzie Jordan, Hannah Bedore and Ava McAninch.

The win allowed the Tigers to keep their unbeaten record as they compete with Bishop Carroll to see who will claim the No. 1 playoff seed in the Class 5A West region.

Earlier last week, the Tigers hosted Andover on April 29, winning 5-0. Kaitlyn Celso scored two goals, while Chloe Smith, Reese Nusser and Dani Smith scored a goal apiece. Kaeleigh Shamburger, McAninch and Nusser had assists.

“My defense, my senior back line, stepped up again and had a shutout,” Downing said. “My keeper, Jules Elliott, continued to play well.”

The Tigers were slated to play at Salina Central on Tuesday, May 6. Next week, the Tigers play at Rose Hill on Tuesday and host Andover Central on Thursday.

Swimmers take part in season’s biggest meet

The Campus Colts and Eisenhower and Goddard Tigers took part in the Maize invitational last week, a two-day meet on Friday and Saturday that featured 26 teams.

The Colts fought to get into the top 10, finishing 10th with 105 points. Hays beat Campus by a half-point, and the Colts were 1 point ahead of Hutchinson and 4 points ahead of Bishop Carroll. The new combined Eisenhower and Goddard did not score any points, one of three teams to do so against a very tough field. The top 16 finishers in each event scored points.

Campus did its best in Friday’s diving, with all three participants placing in the top 16. State qualifier Joslyn Cox had a strong effort, placing fourth. Paiton Strain and Alexis Evans finished ninth and 11th.

Kimberlyn Grim placed well in a pair of events. She won the 200-yard freestyle on Saturday in 1:59.18, the only time under two minutes. She later took second in the 100 backstroke.

The Colts’ other placers were Zoey Skiles of Clearwater in the 500 freestyle, and up-and-coming sophomore Carrigan Welch in the 100 breaststroke. Both finished 15th. Campus also placed in all three relays. The Colts’ best finish was 10th place in the 200 freestyle relay.

Both teams are off this week. The league meets will be May 16-17. Campus will compete in the Ark Valley Chisholm Trail League Div. I meet in Salina, while Eisenhower and Goddard will be at the Div. II meet in Maize.

<b>Campus</b>
200 medley relay: Campus A (Kailyn Tunnell, Carrigan Welch, Jordan Coleman, Kimberlyn Grim), 11th, 2:14.34.
200 freestyle: Kimberlyn Grim, 1st, 1:59.18.
1m diving: Joslyn Cox, 4th, 350.50; Paiton Strain, 9th, 246.15; Alexis Evans, 11th, 243.20.
500 freestyle: Zoey Skiles, 15th, 6:32.55.
200 freestyle relay: Campus A (Jordan Coleman, Carrigan Welch, Tegan Dushane, Kimberlyn Grim), 10th, 1:55.09.
100 backstroke: Kimberlyn Grim, 2nd, 1:00.76.
100 breaststroke: Carrigan Welch, 15th, 1:26.13.
400 freestyle relay: Campus A (Chloe Wertman, Lennon Charland, Andrea Blomberg, Tegan Dushane), 13th, 4:58.08.
<b>Eisenhower</b>
No team points.

PUBLIC NOTICE

First Published in TSnews May 1, 2025 (2t)

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

The governing body of the City of Goddard, Kansas (the “City”), will meet for the purpose of holding a public hearing, as provided by K.S.A. 12-17,140 et seq. (the “Act”) for the purpose of considering the advisability of creating a proposed transportation development district, the levy of a transportation development district sales tax therein and making and financing certain hereinafter described transportation project improvements.

Time and Place of Hearing

May 19, 2025, at 7 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, at the City Hall, 118 N. Main, P.O. Box 667, Goddard, Kansas 67052.

Proposed Transportation Development District Projects

The general nature of the proposed transportation development district projects (the “Projects”) to be constructed within and outside the proposed District is: construction of street improvements, including pavement, curbing, guttering, landscaping and lighting, on Hawkins Lane running south from Maple Street, which Project substantially benefits the District.

Estimated Costs

The estimated maximum costs of the proposed Projects, excluding reserves, financing costs and costs of issuance, are \$1,070,427.05.

Method of Financing and Amount of Sales Tax

The costs of the proposed Projects and associated reserves, financing costs and costs of issuance are proposed to be financed by issuance of special obligation revenue bonds or pay-as-you-go financing. It is proposed that a transportation development district sales tax in the amount of one percent (1.0%) on the selling of tangible personal property at retail or rendering or furnishing services within the proposed District. Any revenue bonds will be secured by the pledge of money received from the imposition of a transportation development district sales tax in amount and pay-as-you-go-financing will be paid from money received from such tax. The transportation development district sales tax shall expire after sufficient transportation development sales tax has been received to pay the cost of the Projects, but no later than 22 years from the date of commencement of collection of such tax.

Special Assessments

There are proposed to be no special assessments pursuant to the Act on property within the boundaries of the District.

Map and Description of Proposed Transportation Development District

The legal description of the property to be contained in the proposed District is: Lot 1, Block A, Tanganyika Wildlife Park Addition, City of Goddard, Sedgwick County, Kansas. A map generally outlining the boundaries of the proposed District is below.

The public hearing may be adjourned from time to time. At the conclusion of the public hearing, the governing body may, by passage of an ordinance, create the District and establish the boundaries thereof, authorize the Projects, approve the maximum costs of such Projects, levy the transportation development district sales tax and approve the method of financing the same; provided, however, that the area of the District to be assessed for the costs of the Projects may be less than but shall not exceed, the area proposed to be assessed as described above without giving notice of and holding a new hearing; and provided further that the ordinance shall become effective upon publication in the official City newspaper.

ALL PERSONS DESIRING TO BE HEARD WITH REFERENCE TO THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT SHALL BE HEARD AT THE PUBLIC HEARING.

DATED: April 29, 2025.

/s/ Sarah Simon, City Clerk

PUBLIC NOTICE

First Published in TSnews May 8, 2025 (1t)

THE CITY OF GODDARD, KANSAS ORDINANCE NO. 997

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CITY OF GODDARD ZONING REGULATIONS BY AMENDING THE REQUIREMENTS FOR SITE PLANS UNDER ARTICLE 11: WHEREAS, notice of a April 14, 2025, Goddard Planning Commission public hearing on changing the site plan criteria was published in the official city newspaper on March 20, 2024. WHEREAS, the Goddard Planning Commission conducted a public hearing on April 14, 2025 on changing the zoning and subdivision regulations by amending the site plan approval criteria, and after deliberating voted to approve said changes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF GODDARD, KANSAS:

Section 1. Text and Image Change

ARTICLE 11. SITE PLAN REVIEW & BUILDING DESIGN STANDARDS

1.PURPOSE. The purpose of this Article is to establish requirements for Site Plan Review inGoddard and increase public participation in the design and physical development of thecommunity.

2.JURISDICTION. These regulations shall apply to all land located within the City of Goddard.

3.PROCESS.

a.Projects that present potential impacts to surrounding properties and neighborhoods go tothe Planning Commission.

b.The Community Development Director shall make a determination if a project is to bereviewed by the Planning Commission.

c.Plats are reviewed separately by the Planning Commission.

d.When required, a Site Plan Review application must then be submitted.

e.Each submittal must include the information listed on the application.

f.After accepting your application for processing, the Community Development Director willreview the application for completeness.

g.If your application is found to be incomplete, you will be notified and asked to submit theadditional information required to process your application. This may delay the schedulingof your project for the Planning Commission.

h.The city maintains a listing of the Planning Commission Calendar for all applicants.

4.SITE PLAN APPROVAL

a.Applicability. All private and public principal land uses shall submit site plans and otherrequired drawings (See PART THREE) for approval by the Planning Commission exceptsingle-family and duplexes, unless the latter are arranged in courtyard or grouped settings.Such plans are applicable to all new developments and additions to all buildings. Principleland uses include major utility substations in all zoning districts. Minor revisions to the plans due to unforeseen circumstances may be approved by the Community Development Director after the initial plan approval by the Planning Commission. Site plans may be considered concurrently with applications for rezoning amendments and special uses. The Community Development Director provides applicants with the “Application for Site Plan Review”, “Site Plan Review Criteria” and is available to explain and respond to questions on the process, contents and standards.

b. Applicants submit documents for preliminary or final review to the Community Development Director so that at least 25 days elapses between the submittal date and the regular meeting of the Planning Commission. If, in the opinion of the Community Development Director, the submittal documents contain significant errors or are incomplete, the application and documents will be returned to the applicant for required changes before they can be processed.

c. Once the application and documents are accepted, Zoning Administrator circulates copies of documents to other people as deemed necessary for technical review and comments before submitting them to the Planning Commission

d. The Planning Commission meets monthly to consider site plans. Applicants will be notified of the Planning Commission meetings. Meeting information is available on the City's website.

e. A majority vote is necessary for the Planning Commission to approve, with or without conditions, disapprove or defer for more study a site plan.

f. The Community Development Director will inform the applicant of the Planning Commission's decision. Any applicant aggrieved by a decision of the Planning Commission may appeal to the Governing Body within 30 days for a determination based on the reasonableness of the decision attached to the issuance of their zoning permit No zoning permit shall be issued by City until final approval of the site plan has been given by the Planning Commission or upon appeal, the

Governing Body.

5. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS. All required information must be submitted in a digital format. The community development director or their designee may require additional information as is necessary to convey the ideas presented by the applicant.

To protect the health, safety and general welfare of the Community, the Community Development Director may require a licensed professional to prepare certain plans. A licensed engineer, for example, may be required to prepare a drainage plan when the City's storm water system is impacted by site development.

a. Site Plan. A site plan is required showing the following information:

- 1) Scale and north arrow
- 2) Gross floor area of each building
- 3) Gross tract area
- 4) Percent lot coverage
- 5) Building heights
- 6) All property and street pavement lines
- 7) Yards and building set back lines
- 8) Fire lane easements (usually 20' wide) If necessary
- 9) Sidewalks and/or pedestrian ways
- 10) Utility easements and lines
- 11) Storm water structures, including possible retention/detention facilities, and related easements or reserves.
- 12) Boundary and elevation of the 100-year flood, if applicable
- 13) Building pad minimum elevation
- 14) If parking is involved, show calculations for determining the required number of off-street parking spaces as required by the Zoning Regulations. Give the number of spaces actually proposed, including handicapped parking spaces. Give the maximum number of employees, customers, and office vehicles that would be at the facility at any one time.
- 15) Proposed ingress and egress to the site, including on-site parking areas(s), parking stalls, adjacent drives, and adjacent intersections.
- 16) Location and size of existing and proposed free standing signs

b. Elevation view drawings. Elevation view drawings are required showing all relevant information to convey the information proposed.

c. Concept Images It may be determined that renderings or concept images are required to further convey the ideas of the developer.

d. Isofootcandle lines diagram. The Zoning Administrator shall make a determination whether the submittal of an isofootcandle diagram is required. When required, the diagram shall include light output for lighted signage. See Part Four, Section C-3 k for guidelines.

6. Building Design.

Architectural style is not restricted. Evaluation of the appearance of a project shall be based on the quality of its design and relationship to surroundings.

Some limitations may apply which are listed below:

Metal structures for primary or accessory buildings shall have facing (wrapped) with material such as stone, brick, stucco or other approved materials. If any side is abutting a street or is considered significantly exposed to the public, it must be wrapped to the soffit level of the building. This shall be for Commercial and Industrial buildings only. Residential structures shall not be of rolled metal for primary residences. Rolled metal shall be acceptable for accessory uses to a residential property and must comply with Article 6. Anyone desiring to avoid this requirement either partially or in full can apply for a variance before the Planning Commission.

Section 2. Repeal Prior Provisions

All prior versions of Article 11 are hereby revoked.

Effective Date

This Ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its Publication in the official city newspaper.

Passed by the City Council this 5th Day of May 2025.

Approved by the Mayor this 5th Day of May 2025.

SEAL /s/ GEORGE LIEBE, MAYOR

ATTEST:  
/s/ SARAH SIMON, CITY CLERK